



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5649
09/802,872		03/12/2001	William Coan	12177/44301	
23838	7590	01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	N & KENT		ESCALANTE, OVIDIO		
SUITE 70		(	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON, DO	20005	2645		
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/802,87	2	COAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ovidio Esc	alante	2645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the property of	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ys, a reply within the statur y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed  s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 06 October 2005	i.						
·		☐ This action is no							
′=	•			secution as to the	e merits is				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)[\]	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-17,19-22,24 and 26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
د.عار۰	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· —	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-17,19-22,24 and 26-28</u> is/are rejected.								
·	·								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) are subjected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
<i>0</i> 1□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	/aminer							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
ابا(۱۰	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).								
11)□	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	·								
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have beer	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		• •		01-				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ea in this National	Stage				
* (	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	•	,	d					
`	See the attached detailed Office action to	i a list of the certifi	ca copies not receive	u.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	1100)	6) Other:	aton replication (i'')	<del></del>				

Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This action is in response to applicant's response filed on October 06, 2005. Claims 1-12,14-17,19-22,24,26-28 are now pending in the present application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

í

Page 3

Art Unit: 2645

5. Claims 1-7,12,19-22,24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouns in view of Mölne US Patent 5,943,611.

Regarding claim 1, Brouns teaches a method for providing enhanced features at a mobile communication device, (col. 2, lines 58-64), the device including a feature (full address book entries) having a set of sub-features, (partial address book entries), (abstract), comprising:

receiving, from a user at the mobile communication device, a request for one of the sub-features, (col. 3, lines 16-26);

searching the mobile communication device for the requested sub-feature, (col. 3, lines 16-41);

searching an enhanced local services server for the requested sub-feature, if the requested sub-feature is not resident of the mobile communication device, , (col. 3, lines 42-60);

accessing the requested sub-feature from the enhanced local services server, (col. 3, line 61-col. 4, line 3); and

receiving the requested sub-feature at the mobile communication devices via a wireless channel, (col. 3, line 61-col. 4, line 3).

While Brouns teaches of access the requested sub-feature, Brouns does not specifically teach of accessing the requested feature via a wireless digital control channel.

In the same field of endeavor, Mölne teaches of a directory assistance system in which the mobile communication device accesses via a digital control channel the requested feature, (col. 9, lines 43-62). Mölne states when the digital radiotelephone 16c is used for accessing the network directory database 22c, a digital control channel can be used to complete the data search without opening a voice channel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouns by using the digital control channel instead of opening a voice channel as taught by Mölne so that by using a digital control channel to transmit search criteria and results the air time required to transmit this information can be reduced, thereby reducing cellular access costs.

Regarding claims 2,5 and 7, Brouns in view of Mölne, in view of claims 1 and 4, teaches the requested sub-feature is received via the wireless digital control channel, (col. 9, lines 43-62, Mölne).

As stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouns by using the digital control channel instead of opening a voice channel as taught by Mölne so that by using a digital control channel to transmit search criteria and results the air time required to transmit this information can be reduced, thereby reducing cellular access costs.

Regarding claim 3, Brouns, teaches wherein said feature defines an address book service and wherein the set of sub-features defines a portion of a user-defined address book to be stored at the mobile communication device, (abstract).

Regarding claim 4, Brouns, as applied to claim 3, teaches wherein the received request comprises a request for access to a portion of said user defined address book which is stored in the wireless network, (abstract; col. 3, lines 42-60).

Regarding claim 6, Brouns, as applied to claim 4, teaches receiving an update transmission from said mobile communication device to effect a modification of said user defined address book stored in the wireless network, (col. 4, lines 23-40).

**Regarding claim 12**, Brouns teaches a method for provisioning services to a mobile communication device, (abstract), comprising:

programming the mobile communication device to provide a first set of features (partial address book entries) defining an aspect of a first service, (abstract);

programming a wireless network server to provide a second set of features, (col. 3, lines 16-26),

supplementing said first set, to fully define said first service, (abstract; col. 3, lines 42-60);

receiving, at the mobile communication device, a command to access said first service, (col. 3, lines 16-26);

responsive to said command, determining whether said first set of features can satisfy said command, (col. 3, lines 16-41); and

if it is determined that said first set of features cannot satisfy said command then automatically transmitting a request to satisfy said command to said wireless network server, (col. 3, lines 42-60).

While Brouns teaches of access the requested sub-feature, Brouns does not specifically teach of accessing the requested feature via a wireless digital control channel.

In the same field of endeavor, Mölne teachesw herein the request to satisfy said command is transmitted via a wireless digital control channel, (col. 9, lines 43-62). Mölne states when the digital radiotelephone 16c is used for accessing the network directory database 22c, a digital control channel can be used to complete the data search without opening a voice channel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouns by using the digital control channel instead of opening a voice channel as taught by Mölne so that by using a digital control channel to transmit search criteria and results the air time required to transmit this information can be reduced, thereby reducing cellular access costs.

Regarding claims 19 and 27, Brouns, as applied to claim 12, teaches wherein said first service comprises an address book, (col. 3, lines 16-26).

Regarding claim 20, Brouns, as applied to claim 19, teaches wherein said aspect of the first service comprises access to a first portion of said address book, (col. 3, lines 16-26; abstract).

Regarding claim 21, Brouns, as applied to claim 20, teaches wherein said command requests access to another portion of said address book, different from said first portion, (col. 3, lines 42-60).

Regarding claim 22, Brouns, as applied to claim 21, teaches wherein said mobile communication device queues said command and performs said step of transmitting when a communication path to said wireless network server becomes available, (col. 3, lines 61-col. 4, line 3).

**Regarding claim 24**, Brouns teaches a system for providing service features to a mobile communication subscriber, (abstract) comprising:

a mobile communication network, (fig. 2);

a mobile network services server coupled to said mobile communication network, (fig. 2); and

Art Unit: 2645

a mobile communication device coupled to said mobile communication network via an over-the-air transmission path, (fig. 2: TR-RS), said mobile communication device including, a processor, (col. 3, lines 16-41); and

a memory (PTD) coupled to said processor and storing therein a program to perform the operations of, generating a command for a communication service based on a subscriber inputs, (abstract);

determining whether said communication service can be satisfied by the mobile communication device as a stand alone device, (col. 3, lines 16-41), and if it is determined that said mobile communication device cannot satisfy said communication service, then automatically transmitting a service request to said mobile network services server via said overthe-air transmission path, (col. 3, lines 42-60).

While Brouns teaches of access the requested sub-feature, Brouns does not specifically teach wherein said over-the-air transmission path comprises a wireless digital control channel

In the same field of endeavor, Mölne teaches wherein the request to satisfy said command is transmitted via a wireless digital control channel, (col. 9, lines 43-62). Mölne states when the digital radiotelephone 16c is used for accessing the network directory database 22c, a digital control channel can be used to complete the data search without opening a voice channel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouns by using the digital control channel instead of opening a voice channel as taught by Mölne so that by using a digital control channel to transmit search criteria and results the air time required to transmit this information can be reduced, thereby reducing cellular access costs.

Regarding claim 28, Brouns, as applied to claim 24, teaches wherein said communication service relates to a personal information management service, (abstract, col. 3, line 42-60).

6. Claims 8-11,14-17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouns in view of Mölne and further in view of Kahan et al. US Patent Pub. 2002/0024536.

**Regarding claim 8**, while Brouns in view of Mölne, in view of claim 1, teaches of providing a plurality of features for the mobile communication device, Brouns does not specifically teach of defining a calendar service.

Kahan teaches that it was well known in the art to have a calendar service stored in the network and to send the calendar to the mobile device. Kahan also teaches wherein a feature defines a calendar service and wherein said set of sub-features defines a portion of a user defined calendar to be stored at the mobile communication device, (paragraphs 0006 and 0058).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Brouns to include a calendar service to be included in the provisioning so that the mobile communication device can be provisioned with services that the user desires.

Regarding claims 9,11,14-16 and 26,, Brouns as modified by Kahan in view of claims 8, 12 and 24, teach wherein said received request comprises a request for access to a portion of said user defined calendar which is stored in the wireless network, (paragraphs 0006 and 0058, Kahan);

Art Unit: 2645

receiving an update transmission from said mobile communication device to effect a modification of said user defined calendar stored in the wireless network, (paragraphs 0006 and 0058, Kahan);

wherein said first service/communication service comprises a calendar service having a plurality of scheduling options, (paragraphs 0006 and 0058);

wherein said aspect of the first service comprises a monthly scheduler capable of handling a subset of the scheduling options in the calendar service, (paragraphs 0006 and 0058); and

wherein said command requests to schedule an event outside of the scheduling options available with said aspect of the first service.

As stated above it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Brouns to include a calendar service to be included in the provisioning so that the mobile communication device can be provisioned with services that the user desires.

Regarding claim 10, Brouns in view of Mölne, as applied to claim 9, teaches wherein said wireless channel comprises a digital control channel, (col. 9, lines 43-62, Mölne).

As stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Brouns by using the digital control channel instead of opening a voice channel as taught by Mölne so that by using a digital control channel to transmit search criteria and results the air time required to transmit this information can be reduced, thereby reducing cellular access costs.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 17, Brouns, as applied to claim 16, teaches wherein said mobile communication device queues said command and performs said step of transmitting when a communication path to said wireless network server becomes available, (col. 3, lines 42-col. 4, line 3).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7537, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is 571-272-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S. Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OVIDIO ESCALANTE PATENT EXAMINER

Ovideo Esalute

Ovidio Escalante Examiner

Group 2645

December 16, 2005

O.E./oe